

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/541,825	03/28/2006	Xiaoqiang Xu	274330US6PCT	8732	
OBLON SPIV	7590 10/06/201 7AK MCCI ELLAND	EXAM	EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		DEHGHAN, QUEENIE S			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1791		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/06/2010	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/541,825	XU ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	QUEENIE DEHGHAN	1791		

		QUEENIE DEHGHAN	1791	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 13 September 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. 🗵	3 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appea for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	plies: (1) an amendment, affidavit Il (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
	The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date or			
b)	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late	er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN TWO
nave unde set fo may	nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter of 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the short in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later the reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). ICEC OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding amount of ortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
Ī	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complie filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with	ion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	<u>ENDMENTS</u> The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, bu	t prior to the data of filing a brief		
3	(a) They raise new issues that would require further cons	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
	<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette</li> </ul>		ucina or simplifying th	ne issues for
	appeal; and/or			10 100000 101
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a co NOTE:	rresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. 🗆	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121	. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	npliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _			
6. 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).	wable if submitted in a separate, ti	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the
7. 🛛	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: 14 and 16-28.			
AFF	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  IDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but the because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
	☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of QUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after en	try is below or attache	ed.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered but on See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (P	TO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. [	Other:			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

/Queenie Dehghan/ Examiner, Art Unit 1791 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding Russell, the applicant argues 'the office action appears to be taking the position that Russell teaches that adjusting porsity allows to the first to achieve the desired cooling effect'. This is incorrect. Such a conclusory statement about Russell was not made. But instead Russell teaches the approximation of the property of the property of the proximation of the proxim